1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON					
3	PORTLAND DIVISION					
4						
5						
6	ERIK MATTSON, individually and on ) behalf of all others similarly )					
7	situated, ) No. 3:18-cv-00989-YY )					
8	Plaintiff, ) August 5, 2021 )					
9	v. ) Portland, Oregon					
	QUICKEN LOANS INC.,					
10	Defendant. )					
11						
12						
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS					
14	(Discovery hearing by teleconference)					
15						
16	BEFORE THE HONORABLE YOULEE YIM YOU					
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE					
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24	COURT REPORTER: Kellie M. Humiston, RMR, CRR					
25	(503) 326-8186 Kellie Humiston@ord.uscourts.gov					

1			APPEARANCES
2			
3	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF:	
4			HUGHES ELZY By: Leigh Skye Montgomery
5			1105 Milford Street Houston, TX 77006
6			11045 (011) 122 77000
7	FOR THE	PLAINTIFF:	
8			S. AMANDA MARSHALL 1318 NW Northup Street
9			Portland, OR 97209
10			
11	FOR THE	DEFENDANT:	
12			GOODWIN PROCTER By: Brooks R. Brown
13			1900 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-1612
14			<i>y</i> ,
15	FOR THE	DEFENDANT:	
16			KILMER VOORHEES & LAURICK By: James P. Laurick
17			2701 NW Vaughn Street Suite 780
18			Portland, OR 97210
19			
20	FOR THE	DEFENDANT:	
21			GOODWIN PROCTER By: W. Kyle Tayman
22			1900 N Street, NW Washington, DC 20036
23			<i>y</i> ,
24			
25			

(August 5, 2021, 9:01 a.m.) 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: Good morning, counsel. This is Judge You. 4 We are on the record in Mattson v. Quicken loans, Case Number 5 3:18-cv-989. 6 7 Let me begin by asking if we have plaintiff's counsel 8 on the phone. MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes, Your Honor. This is Leigh 9 Montgomery for Plaintiff Erik Mattson. 10 MS. MARSHALL: And this is Amanda Marshall for 11 Plaintiff Erik Mattson. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else? Okay. 13 All right. Then let me move to Quicken Loans. Who's 14 representing Quicken today? 15 MR. TAYMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Kyle 16 Tayman for Quicken Loans. 17 18 MR. LAURICK: And James Laurick is also on the line 19 here, Your Honor. I'm local counsel for Quicken Loans. 20 MR. BROWN: And Brooks Brown, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Do we have local counsel 21 22 for -- oh, yes, we do. Of course, Miss Marshall. All right. Okay. So we are here, as you know, to discuss the 23 scheduling and discovery issues that you so thoroughly and 24

clearly articulated in your joint statements. I really

25

1 appreciate that.

25

So let me begin by asking if you saw the order that the 2 court issued, if everyone saw the order that the court issued in 3 the New Penn case staying all proceedings in this case until the 4 Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' disposition of plaintiff's Rule 5 6 23(f) petition? Does that -- is that language that everyone --7 or is that something that everyone agrees should be entered in 8 this case? 9 MR. TAYMAN: This is Kyle Tayman, Your Honor. Kyle Tayman for Quicken Loans. I'll respond on behalf of Quicken 10 11 Loans. 12 Yes, Your Honor, we did see that order. And pursuant to our statement in the joint status report and our prior 13 scheduling submission from September, we agree that class 14 certification proceedings and discovery in class should be stayed 15 pending the resolution of New Penn, however, we think we -- we 16 should be entitled to continue to proceed with discovery on 17 18 Mr. Mattson's individual claim in order to resolve the remaining 19 fact disputes from summary judgment, and also we should be 20 allowed to pursue a renewed motion for summary judgment to 21 address the issues falling from Judge Hernandez' order denying 22 our motion, because we think, one, we can resolve those fact disputes, and two, that there were predicate issues that the 23 24 Court did not reach based on pleading or because we addressed

other arguments that are still ripe for decision and can be

- 1 resolved on summary judgment, and if not resolved, would greatly
- 2 benefit the sufficiency of trial.
- And as we made clear, Mr. Mattson has said he fully
- 4 intends to pursue his individual claim no matter the outcome of
- 5 the New Penn appeal. So we do believe that discovery should go
- 6 forward on Mr. Mattson's individual claim as well as we should be
- 7 allowed to pursue the renewed motion for summary judgment.
- 8 THE COURT: Sure. I understand, and we'll get to that
- 9 issue in just a moment. I just wanted to confirm that everyone
- 10 was on the same page about staying this case until that
- 11 certification issue or the ultimate appeal was resolved by the
- 12 Ninth Circuit.
- MS. MONTGOMERY: Your Honor, on behalf of plaintiff,
- 14 this is Leigh Montgomery. We obviously are in favor of a stay
- 15 pending this appeal and its resolution in the Ninth Circuit.
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- So let me move, then, to the discovery issue. And back
- 18 to Mr. Tayman, I understand that you are seeking some additional
- 19 discovery with respect to the tax issue. Could you describe
- 20 specifically what it is that you are seeking in terms of
- 21 additional discovery? What are you proposing?
- MR. TAYMAN: Yes. Kyle Tayman for Quicken Loans again.
- 23 Yes, Your Honor. We're seeking to just clarify the fact of
- 24 whether Westland Investors deducted for purposes of federal and
- state tax law the expenses of the cellphone line and cellphone at

- 1 issue in this case. The Court denied our motion for summary
- 2 judgment, claiming there was a dispute of fact as to whether or
- 3 not it's a business line. And in denying New Penn's similar
- 4 motion for summary judgment, the Court specifically relied on
- 5 Mr. Mattson's testimony that he believed his company did deduct
- 6 it, but was not certain.
- And so we believe resolution of that issue will greatly
- 8 aid the Court in resolving summary judgment. And even if there
- 9 is a fact dispute somehow still after that, it would greatly aid
- any trial, because we will resolve this issue and avoid the need
- 11 for additional witnesses and testimony at trial.
- So in February, Your Honor, we served Mr. Mattson with
- 13 limited and targeted discovery on this issue. Specifically, we
- 14 served nine requests for admissions on whether Westland deducted
- 15 the phone and business line as a -- as a tax deduction, and then
- we separately served nine requests for admissions on the payment
- of the -- of the phone and the telephone line that we think are
- undisputed facts based on Mr. Mattson's testimony, and we just
- 19 want to simplify that for trial.
- 20 And we also -- just for clarity, we also served two
- 21 additional requests for admissions on Quicken Loans policies and
- 22 procedures as to Count 2, which, again, we think the Court
- already found were undisputed as a matter of fact, and we want to
- 24 avoid that issue for trial.
- We served at the same time, four interrogatories asking

- 1 Mr. Mattson to identify the Westland employees or owners
- 2 responsible for the federal and state tax reporting, and asking
- 3 Mr. Mattson to identify Westland's accountants and to identify
- 4 his own accountant, again, so that we can understand this issue
- of were the -- was the business -- was the cellular line and the
- 6 phone deducted as a business expense for tax purposes.
- 7 And then we served two document requests for any
- 8 document Mr. Mattson relied upon to answer those requests for
- 9 admission or interrogatories.
- There were some other discovery, Your Honor, that we
- 11 served at the same time concerning class, and the parties are in
- 12 agreement that does not need to be answered at this time.
- So, again, we served that in February. Mr. Mattson
- 14 responded in March with objections only. He did not answer any
- of them. His primary objection was that individual merits
- 16 discovery was closed.
- We met and conferred with his counsel on March 26.
- 18 They would only discuss the issue of whether or not merits
- 19 discovery was open, and refused to discuss their other
- 20 objections, which included relevancy and the claim that somehow
- 21 this discovery is not within Mr. Mattson's possession, custody,
- or control, that it is only within Westland's.
- 23 And that brought us to the present dispute, where we
- have not been able to advance this for the past six months since
- 25 the discovery has been served.

- THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Counsel. 1 Let me tell you that -- and, of course, I want to hear 2 from plaintiff as well, but let me tell you that I'm inclined to 3 allow additional discovery on this issue so that it could be 4 presented at trial, but I'm not inclined to allow another motion 5 6 for summary judgment, because my findings and recommendations 7 discussed specifically Mattson's belief that Westland deducts the 8 cost of his phone and phone service. And in spite of that, Judge 9 Hernandez found that on the facts in the record, a reasonable jury could conclude that the subject number is a residential 10 11 number. So I just don't think it's going to make any difference 12 in terms of summary judgment to present evidence that, in fact, 13 Westland does deduct this line. I think that based on what I'm 14 seeing in the F&R and Judge Hernandez' -- Chief Judge Hernandez' 15 order declining to adopt the F&R, that it's an issue that was 16 before the Court already, although maybe not as specifically, and 17 18 I think that it would be redundant and not -- and also burdensome 19 on the plaintiff to have to relitigate that issue. 20 However, I think that while we're in this state of --21 while we're in -- while we're experiencing somewhat of a delay, I 22 think that it's reasonable to allow discovery on that issue so that ultimately the trier of fact can decide whether this is a 23 residential number or not. 24
- 25 So let me -- with that -- with those thoughts in mind,

- let me turn to plaintiff's counsel to see what your thoughts are.
- MS. MONTGOMERY: So of course we agree with Your Honor
- about the summary judgment issues, and believe that the previous
- 4 order on summary judgment was clear that there was -- there's a
- 5 factual dispute here.
- As far as the discovery requested, really plaintiff's
- 7 issue is the lack of clarification on the scheduling and what
- 8 discovery is open, what is available, and what they are allowed
- 9 to serve and do. And if it's just answer the -- fully the
- 10 written discovery, we just want an order from the Court, or would
- 11 request an order from the Court clarifying just this, you know,
- written discovery is allowed or whether it's open on all of
- discovery again, because we did have lengthy discovery and a
- 14 lengthy deposition on these issues.
- 15 And I do understand that the -- you know, Judge
- 16 Hernandez' order makes clear it was not an -- it was not
- 17 conclusively established as to whether the business deducted the
- 18 expense, and I do understand that that issue may come up later.
- 19 I'm trying to understand what the schedule is of
- 20 discovery and whether it's just answer this -- these written
- questions, as counsel has set forth in their joint status report,
- or whether they're seeking to go further.
- 23 And one issue in particular is concerning, because the
- interrogatory request only asks for identification of
- 25 individuals. To me, that says, "Now I want to depose those

- individuals," instead of, "I just want to serve this discrete
- 2 discovery that is to clear up one factual issue that was
- 3 remaining after lengthy individual merits discovery already
- 4 concluded."
- 5 So that's -- that's plaintiff's position, is just to
- 6 get some clarity from the Court as to the scope of what we're
- 7 going to do moving forward, if anything, on the individual claim.
- 8 THE COURT: And turning back to Mr. Tayman, you listed
- 9 a number of different requests that you were making with respect
- 10 to discovery, and I'm not sure I was able to take notes quickly
- 11 enough to catch everything it was that you were requesting. So I
- 12 quess my -- I quess my -- my question really is where the dispute
- lies between the parties in terms of what additional discovery
- 14 would be allowed.
- So assuming I'm not going to allow additional motion
- 16 practice, to what extent are you unable to resolve the issue of
- additional discovery on the, as Mr. Tayman described, limited and
- 18 targeted issue?
- MS. MONTGOMERY: Are you asking plaintiff's counsel?
- 20 This is Leigh Montgomery.
- 21 THE COURT: Well, I'm asking -- I quess I'm asking both
- of you if -- where the dispute lies and if it's something that
- 23 you cannot resolve.
- I hear from Ms. Montgomery that you need some -- you
- 25 wanted the Court to order some -- before acquiescing to it, you

- 1 needed some -- you wanted some order from the Court.
- So I don't want to micromanage, is basically what I'm
- 3 trying to say. If you can -- if I'm going to allow some limited
- 4 and targeted discovery on the question of whether this was a
- 5 deducted expense for tax purposes, with that in mind, is -- can
- 6 you work out the parameters to what -- regarding what it is that
- 7 defendants are asking -- defendant is asking for or do you need
- 8 further help from me?
- 9 MS. MONTGOMERY: I -- well, I guess the question would
- 10 be more for the defendant if the -- if the request, the written
- 11 discovery request is the extent of the discovery that they want
- 12 to pursue and the Court is going to, as I assume, issue some --
- 13 some sort of order today allowing for that written discovery. If
- that's all that defendants want to pursue, the ones that they've
- already served, then, no, I don't think we have a dispute on
- 16 that.
- And like I said, it was just getting some clarity on
- what we're doing since the last order had closed the individual
- 19 merits, but understanding Your Honor's position, if it's -- if
- 20 Quicken Loans' only goal was to get answers to the discovery that
- 21 he outlined and has already served in February, then we don't
- 22 have a dispute over that. The dispute would be on what else they
- 23 may want to do.
- 24 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
- 25 Turning back to Mr. Tayman.

MR. TAYMAN: Yes. Kyle Tayman for Quicken Loans. 1 Your Honor, I -- hearing what Miss Montgomery said, it 2 seems that we would have agreement on that, that we want the 3 written discovery served, answered. 4 With respect to needing the Court's assistance, one of 5 the objections that plaintiff raised in that discovery was 6 7 claiming he doesn't have possession, custody, or control over the 8 information as to whether his company deducted it for federal and 9 state tax purposes. And if that's going to be -- if they're going to stand on that objection, we would need the Court's 10 assistance, because we think it's inconsistent for Mr. Mattson to 11 tell this Court that he fictionally paid for the phone line 12 because he's one-third owner of the company, even though he 13 didn't actually pay for it, and then tell the Court that he can't 14 answer this discovery because it's not in his possession, 15 custody, or control. 16 If he's going to take that position, then we would be 17 18 forced to either get this Court's help or pursue a Rule 45 19 subpoena to Westland Investors, but we think it's inconsistent 20 with positions he's already taken to this Court to claim now that 21 he doesn't have possession, custody, or control over this, 22 particularly when he's already produced documents from Westland during the normal course of discovery. So if that's not going to 23 be an issue, I think we can resolve this with the written 24

discovery.

25

- We do not anticipate at this time taking -- serving any 1 additional written discovery or other third-party discovery. 2 That's, of course, subject to seeing what the answer is from 3 Mr. Mattson, because, again, if he's claiming he doesn't know or 4 that the information's with his accountant, then we would have to 5 go potentially get that, but we don't -- you know, we are hopeful 6 7 that the answers would resolve this once and for all and that we 8 wouldn't need the Court's additional assistance. Um --9 THE COURT: All right. I understand. Did you want to say something else? 10 MR. TAYMAN: Yes, Your Honor. Again, Kyle Tayman for 11 Quicken Loans. 12 I did want to just briefly address the Court's position 13 on renewing motion for summary judgment. We would respectfully 14 ask that the Court at least reserve judgment on whether or not 15 we'd be allowed to do that until we get this discovery, because I 16 17 would remind the Court that in the New Penn ruling, Judge 18 Hernandez determined there was two disputes of fact. He said 19 there was a dispute of fact as to whether or not Westland 20 Investors deducted the phone line as a -- for tax purposes, and 21 that's what we were trying to resolve. And then he subsequently, 22 based on that and other evidence, determined there's a dispute of fact as to whether it was a residential or business line. 23
- Even putting that aside, the court also did not reach our affirmative defense on Count 1, because he concluded that we

- did not allege that the calls were a result of an error until our
- 2 reply brief. And he did not deny our motion on that ground with
- 3 prejudice, so we think there would be an opportunity to renew
- 4 that, and we think it would be beneficial for the Court to
- 5 resolve that issue, which really is a question of law, in advance
- of trial and it would certainly benefit the trial and the fact
- 7 finder.
- And then also with respect to our renewed motion for
- 9 summary judgment, Your Honor, on Count 2, Your Honor denied --
- 10 recommended denial based on the determination that Mr. Mattson
- 11 had not -- had not revoked his consent, and Judge Hernandez
- 12 reversed.
- However, we had another argument that was never reached
- 14 because of that, which is that as a matter of law, and this was
- undisputed by Mr. Mattson, and Judge Hernandez and you both found
- that this was undisputed, that we've implemented the proper
- 17 policies and procedures under the regulations, and that's
- 18 sufficient to defeat his claim in Count 2. So that was never
- 19 reached fully by the Court. So we do think there would be reason
- 20 here to renew a motion for summary judgment.
- 21 And in our December submission, we did cite case law
- 22 under the Ninth Circuit, including the Hoffman v. Tonnemacher
- case from 2010, where the Ninth Circuit does promote successive
- 24 motion for summary judgment, particularly where there's an
- 25 expanded factual record.

So we would ask, at least at the minimum, the Court 1 reserve judgment as to whether or not we'd be allowed to pursue a 2 renewed motion until after this fact dispute is resolved, and at 3 that time, we could ask the Court for permission to come back and 4 let you know where we are and see if that would change the 5 Court's determination whether or not we should be allowed to do 6 7 that. 8 THE COURT: I understand what you're arguing here. The 9 way I see it is that this question of whether the phone was deducted has always been at issue. It's not a new issue that the 10 parties were unaware of. I mean, Mr. Mattson has been asked 11 about it and it was the subject of debate with respect to the 12 motion for summary judgment. 13 And so what I -- what I'm concerned about is that --14 well, let me back up and say so that's one -- one, I think, 15 important factor, is that this is not some new thing that people 16 were unaware of. And I'm going to allow additional discovery on 17 18 this issue, because I think that ultimately the fact finder needs 19 to make some determinations about it, but to reopen the motion 20 for summary judgment when it was clear that dispositive motions were to be filed by a particular day, I think, would be 21 22 fundamentally unfair to the plaintiff due to the additional expense, time. 23 Of course, as cases evolve, issues or -- as cases 24 evolve, issues receive, I think, more clarification. And there, 25

- 1 I think, are extraordinary circumstances where -- or I shouldn't
- 2 say "extraordinary."
- 3 To deviate from the scheduling order, there has to be
- 4 good cause, and -- and I guess in sum, I just don't find that
- 5 here where, as I said, this is an issue that people were aware of
- at the time of the dispositive motion deadline.
- 7 If there was some new evidence or something to that
- 8 effect on an issue that the parties were unaware of, then, you
- 9 know, that's a different story, but we have to be mindful of the
- 10 purpose of the scheduling order and the effect that it can have
- on the other party to allow repeated dispositive motions, and --
- 12 and so that's how I see it under these circumstances.
- So I'm not going to issue an order that allows you to
- renew the motion that you're making right now, or the request
- that you're making right now after discovery has been completed,
- because I just don't see how things are going to change, based on
- 17 what you have said.
- Okay. So then on the issue of discovery with respect
- 19 to the tax deduction, the written discovery that Quicken Loans
- 20 has identified will be permitted.
- 21 As for whether any additional discovery will be
- 22 allowed, that is something that Quicken can come back to the
- 23 Court with -- I'm not going to foreclose that option, because I
- just don't know -- because Quicken Loans doesn't know at this
- 25 point what the responses are going to be.

- Okay. Anything else --
- MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes, Your Honor.
- 3 THE COURT: -- that the parties would like to discuss
- 4 today?
- 5 MS. MONTGOMERY: No, Your Honor.
- 6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is Brooks Brown. Just one
- 7 question as to the timing for the plaintiffs to respond to that,
- 8 that discovery has been outstanding for some time now.
- 9 THE COURT: Well, sure. Of course, today is the day
- 10 that they found out they need to, so --
- 11 MR. BROWN: Yes. Fair enough.
- 12 THE COURT: So Miss Montgomery, were you going to
- 13 respond?
- MS. MONTGOMERY: Yes. If we could have 2 weeks to get
- our responses in order. We're having -- as the defendant pointed
- out, we're having to try to reach out to, you know, more than
- 17 just my client. It's not just Mr. Mattson. So we would
- 18 appreciate if we could have 2 weeks for those responses.
- 19 THE COURT: Two weeks sounds completely reasonable to
- 20 me. Any objection by Quicken Loans?
- 21 MR. TAYMAN: No objection from Quicken Loans.
- 22 THE COURT: Okay. And it was a good point to clarify
- when that would be completed, so I do appreciate that you
- 24 mentioned it.
- Okay. Anything else to discuss today?

```
MS. MONTGOMERY: Nothing for plaintiff, Your Honor.
 1
 2
               MR. TAYMAN: Kyle Tayman for Quicken Loans. Nothing
     from us, Your Honor.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
 4
 5
               MR. TAYMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: I hope everyone has a productive remainder
 6
     of the day.
 7
               We'll be adjourned. Thank you.
 8
               MS. MONTGOMERY: Thank you.
 9
               (Proceedings concluded at 9:27 a.m.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I certify by signing below that the foregoing is a true
4	and correct transcript of the record, taken by stenographic
5	means, to the best of my ability, of the telephonic proceedings
6	in the above-entitled cause.
7	Due to the telephonic connections of parties appearing
8	via videoconference, speakerphone, or cell phone, speakers
9	talking over one another, speakers failing to enunciate, speakers
10	not identifying themselves before they speak, and/or other
11	technical difficulties that occur during telephonic proceedings,
12	this certification is limited by the above-mentioned reasons and
13	any technological difficulties of such proceedings occurring over
14	the telephone.
15	A transcript without an original signature, conformed
16	signature, or digitally-signed signature is not certified.
17	
18	DATED this 26th day of August, 2021.
19	
20	/s/ Kellie M. Humiston
21	Kellie M. Humiston, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter
22	Certificates Expire: 9/2021
23	
24	
25	

# /s [1] - 19:20 1 1 [1] - 13:25 **1105** [1] - 2:5 1318 [1] - 2:8 1900 [2] - 2:13, 2:22 2 2 [5] - 6:22, 14:9, 14:18, 17:14, 17:18 20036 [1] - 2:22 20036-1612 [1] - 2:13 **2010** [1] - 14:23 2021 [3] - 1:7, 3:1, 19:18 23(f [1] - 4:6 26 [1] - 7:17 26th [1] - 19:18 2701 [1] - 2:17 3 **326-8186** [1] - 1:24 3:18-cv-00989-YY [1] -1:6 3:18-cv-989 [1] - 3:6 4 **45** [1] - 12:18 5 **5** [2] - 1:7, 3:1

503 [1] - 1:24

# 7

77006 [1] - 2:5 780 [1] - 2:17

### 9

9/2021 [1] - 19:22 97209 [1] - 2:9 97210[1] - 2:18 9:01 [1] - 3:1 9:27 [1] - 18:10

#### Α

a.m [2] - 3:1, 18:10 ability [1] - 19:5 able [2] - 7:24, 10:10 above-entitled [1] -

19:6 above-mentioned [1] - 19:12 accountant [2] - 7:4, 13:5 accountants [1] - 7:3 acquiescing [1] -10:25 additional [13] - 5:18, 5:21, 6:11, 6:21, 8:4, 10:13, 10:15, 10:17, 13:2, 13:8, 15:17, 15:22, 16:21 address [2] - 4:21, 13:13 addressed [1] - 4:24 adjourned [1] - 18:8 admission [1] - 7:9 admissions [3] - 6:14, 6:16, 6:21 adopt [1] - 8:16 advance [2] - 7:24, 14:5 agree [2] - 4:14, 9:2 agreement [2] - 7:12, 12:3 agrees [1] - 4:7 aid [2] - 6:8, 6:9 allege [1] - 14:1 allow [7] - 8:4, 8:5, 8:22, 10:15, 11:3,

15:17, 16:11 allowed [9] - 4:20, 5:7, 9:8, 9:12, 10:14, 13:16, 15:2, 15:6, 16:22

allowing [1] - 11:13 allows [1] - 16:13 Amanda [1] - 3:11 AMANDA[1] - 2:8 answer [6] - 7:8, 7:14, 9:9, 9:20, 12:15, 13:3

answered [2] - 7:12, 12:4

answers [2] - 11:20, 13:7 anticipate [1] - 13:1 appeal [3] - 5:5, 5:11,

5:15 Appeals' [1] - 4:5 appearing [1] - 19:7 appreciate [3] - 4:1, 17:18, 17:23 arguing [1] - 15:8

argument [1] - 14:13 arguments [1] - 4:25 articulated [1] - 3:25

aside [1] - 13:24 assistance [3] - 12:5,

12:11, 13:8 assume [1] - 11:12 assuming [1] - 10:15 August [3] - 1:7, 3:1, 19:18 available [1] - 9:8 avoid [2] - 6:10, 6:24 aware [1] - 16:5

#### В

based [6] - 4:24, 6:18, 8:14, 13:22, 14:10, 16:16 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:16 begin [2] - 3:7, 4:2 behalf [3] - 1:6, 4:10, 5:13 belief [1] - 8:7 below [1] - 19:3 beneficial [1] - 14:4 benefit [2] - 5:2, 14:6 best [1] - 19:5 between [1] - 10:13 brief [1] - 14:2 briefly [1] - 13:13 Brooks [3] - 2:12, 3:20, 17:6 brought [1] - 7:23 Brown [3] - 2:12, 3:20, 17:6 BROWN [3] - 3:20, 17:6, 17:11 burdensome [1] -8:18 business [6] - 6:3, 6:15, 7:5, 7:6, 9:17, 13:23

### C

cannot [1] - 10:23 Case [1] - 3:5 case [7] - 4:4, 4:8, 5:10, 6:1, 14:21, 14:23 cases [2] - 15:24 catch [1] - 10:11 cell [1] - 19:8 cellphone [2] - 5:25 **cellular** [1] - 7:5 certain [1] - 6:6 certainly [1] - 14:6 Certificates [1] - 19:22 certification [3] - 4:15, 5:11, 19:12 certified [1] - 19:16 certify [1] - 19:3 change [2] - 15:5, 16:16

Chief [1] - 8:15 Circuit [5] - 4:5, 5:12, 5:15, 14:22, 14:23 circumstances [2] -16:1, 16:12 cite [1] - 14:21 claim [7] - 4:18, 5:4, 5:6, 7:20, 10:7, 12:20, 14:18 claiming [3] - 6:2, 12:7, 13:4 clarification [2] - 9:7, 15:25 clarify [2] - 5:23, 17:22 clarifying [1] - 9:11 clarity [3] - 6:20, 10:6, 11:17 class [3] - 4:14, 4:15, 7:11 clear [5] - 5:3, 9:4, 9:16, 10:2, 15:20 clearly [1] - 3:25

client [1] - 17:17 closed [2] - 7:16, 11:18 company [3] - 6:5, 12:8, 12:13 completed [2] - 16:15, 17:23 completely [1] - 17:19 concerned [1] - 15:14 concerning [2] - 7:11, 9:23 conclude [1] - 8:10 concluded [3] - 10:4, 13:25, 18:10 conclusively [1] - 9:17 conferred [1] - 7:17

confirm [1] - 5:9 conformed [1] - 19:15 connections [1] - 19:7 consent [1] - 14:11 continue [1] - 4:17 control [4] - 7:22, 12:7, 12:16, 12:21 correct [1] - 19:4 cost [1] - 8:8 counsel [8] - 3:4, 3:7, 3:19, 3:21, 7:17, 9:1, 9:21, 10:19 Counsel [1] - 8:1 Count [4] - 6:22, 13:25, 14:9, 14:18 course [7] - 3:22, 8:2, 9:2, 12:23, 13:3, 15:24, 17:9 court [3] - 4:3, 13:24 COURT [21] - 1:1, 1:17, 1:23, 3:4, 3:13, 3:21, 5:8, 5:16, 8:1,

10:8, 10:21, 11:24, 13:9, 15:8, 17:3, 17:9, 17:12, 17:19, 17:22, 18:4, 18:6 Court [24] - 4:5, 4:24, 6:1, 6:4, 6:8, 6:22, 8:17. 9:10. 9:11. 10:6. 10:25. 11:1. 11:12, 12:12, 12:14, 12:20, 13:15, 13:17, 14:4, 14:19, 15:1, 15:4, 16:23, 19:21 Court's [6] - 12:5, 12:10, 12:18, 13:8, 13:13, 15:6 CRR [2] - 1:24, 19:21 custody [4] - 7:21, 12:7, 12:16, 12:21

### D

**DATED**[1] - 19:18

DC [2] - 2:13, 2:22 deadline [1] - 16:6 debate [1] - 15:12 December [1] - 14:21 decide [1] - 8:23 decision [1] - 4:25 declining [1] - 8:16 deduct [2] - 6:5, 8:14 deducted [8] - 5:24, 6:14, 7:6, 9:17, 11:5, 12:8, 13:20, 15:10 deduction [2] - 6:15, 16:19 deducts [1] - 8:7 defeat [1] - 14:18 **Defendant** [1] - 1:10 defendant [3] - 11:7, 11:10, 17:15 DEFENDANT[3] -2:11, 2:15, 2:20 defendants [2] - 11:7, 11:14 defense [1] - 13:25 delay [1] - 8:21 denial [1] - 14:10 denied [2] - 6:1, 14:9 deny [1] - 14:2 denying [2] - 4:21, 6:3 depose [1] - 9:25 deposition [1] - 9:14 describe [1] - 5:19 described [1] - 10:17 determination [2] -14:10, 15:6 determinations [1] -15:19 determined [2] -13:18, 13:22

deviate [1] - 16:3 difference [1] - 8:12 different [2] - 10:9, 16.9 difficulties [2] - 19:11, 19:13 digitally [1] - 19:16 digitally-signed [1] -19:16 discovery [46] - 3:24, 4:15, 4:17, 5:5, 5:17, 5:19, 5:21, 6:13, 7:10, 7:16, 7:19, 7:21, 7:25, 8:4, 8:22, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 9:12, 9:13, 9:20, 10:2, 10:3, 10:10, 10:13, 10:17, 11:4, 11:11, 11:13, 11:20, 12:4, 12:6, 12:15, 12:23, 12:25, 13:2, 13:16, 15:17, 16:15, 16:18, 16:19, 16:21, 17:8 Discovery [1] - 1:14 discrete [1] - 10:1 discuss [5] - 3:23, 7:18, 7:19, 17:3, 17:25 discussed [1] - 8:7 disposition [1] - 4:5 dispositive [3] -15:20, 16:6, 16:11 dispute [12] - 6:2, 6:9, 7:23, 9:5, 10:12, 10:22, 11:15, 11:22, 13:19, 13:22, 15:3 disputes [3] - 4:19, 4:23, 13:18 **DISTRICT**[3] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:17 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:3 document [2] - 7:7, documents [1] - 12:22 due [1] - 15:22 Due [1] - 19:7 during [2] - 12:23,

### Ε

19:11

effect [2] - 16:8, 16:10 either [1] - 12:18 ELZY [1] - 2:4 employees [1] - 7:1 entered [1] - 4:7 entitled [2] - 4:17, 19:6 enunciate [1] - 19:9

ERIK [1] - 1:5

Erik [2] - 3:10, 3:12 error [1] - 14:1 established [1] - 9:17 evidence [3] - 8:13, 13:22, 16:7 evolve [2] - 15:24, 15:25 expanded [1] - 14:25 expense [4] - 7:6, 9:18, 11:5, 15:23 expenses [1] - 5:25 experiencing [1] -8:21 Expire [1] - 19:22 extent [2] - 10:16, 11:11 extraordinary [2] -16:1, 16:2

### F

F&R [2] - 8:15, 8:16

fact [14] - 4:19, 4:22,

5:23, 6:2, 6:9, 6:23,

8:13, 8:23, 13:18, 13:19, 13:23, 14:6, 15:3, 15:18 factor [1] - 15:16 facts [2] - 6:18, 8:9 factual [3] - 9:5, 10:2, 14:25 failing [1] - 19:9 fair [1] - 17:11 falling [1] - 4:21 far [1] - 9:6 favor [1] - 5:14 February [3] - 6:12, 7:13, 11:21 federal [3] - 5:24, 7:2, 12:8 fictionally [1] - 12:12 filed [1] - 15:21 finder [2] - 14:7, 15:18 findings [1] - 8:6 FOR [6] - 1:2, 2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15, 2:20 forced [1] - 12:18 foreclose [1] - 16:23 foregoing [1] - 19:3 forth [1] - 9:21 forward [2] - 5:6, 10:7 four [1] - 6:25 fully [3] - 5:3, 9:9, 14:19 fundamentally [1] -15:22

## G

goal [1] - 11:20

GOODWIN [2] - 2:12, 2:21 greatly [3] - 5:1, 6:7, 6:9 ground [1] - 14:2 guess [5] - 10:12, 10:21, 11:9, 16:4

### Н

hear [2] - 8:2, 10:24

help [2] - 11:8, 12:18

hearing [2] - 1:14,

12:2

Hernandez [4] - 8:9, 13:18, 14:11, 14:15 Hernandez' [4] - 4:21, 8:15, 9:16 Hoffman [1] - 14:22 Honor [21] - 3:9, 3:16, 3:19, 3:20, 4:9, 4:12, 5:13, 5:23, 6:12, 7:10, 9:2, 12:2, 13:11, 14:9, 17:2, 17:5, 17:6, 18:1, 18:3, 18:5 Honor's [1] - 11:19 HONORABLE [1] -1:16 hope [1] - 18:6 hopeful [1] - 13:6 Houston [1] - 2:5 **HUGHES** [1] - 2:4

### 1

Humiston [3] - 1:24,

19:20, 19:21

identification [1] -9:24 identified [1] - 16:20 identify [3] - 7:1, 7:3 identifying [1] - 19:10 implemented [1] -14:16 important [1] - 15:16 IN [1] - 1:1 INC [1] - 1:9 inclined [2] - 8:3, 8:5 included [1] - 7:20 including [1] - 14:22 inconsistent [2] -12:11. 12:19 individual [7] - 4:18, 5:4, 5:6, 7:15, 10:3, 10:7, 11:18 individually [1] - 1:5 individuals [2] - 9:25, 10:1 information [1] - 12:8

information's [1] -13:5 instead [1] - 10:1 intends [1] - 5:4 interrogatories [2] -6:25, 7:9 interrogatory [1] -9:24 Investors [3] - 5:24, 12:19, 13:20 issue [31] - 5:9, 5:11, 5:17, 5:19, 6:1, 6:7, 6:10, 6:13, 6:24, 7:4, 7:18, 8:4, 8:16, 8:19, 8:22, 9:7, 9:18, 9:23, 10:2, 10:16, 10:18, 11:12, 12:24, 14:5, 15:10, 15:18, 16:5, 16:8, 16:13, 16:18 issued [2] - 4:3 issues [7] - 3:24, 4:21, 4:23, 9:3, 9:14, 15:24, 15:25

### J

James [2] - 2:16, 3:18
joint [3] - 3:25, 4:13,
9:21
Judge [9] - 3:4, 4:21,
8:8, 8:15, 9:15,
13:17, 14:11, 14:15
JUDGE [1] - 1:17
judgment [19] - 4:19,
4:20, 5:1, 5:7, 6:2,
6:4, 6:8, 8:6, 8:13,
9:3, 9:4, 13:14,
13:15, 14:9, 14:20,
14:24, 15:2, 15:13,
15:20
jury [1] - 8:10

### Κ

Kellie [3] - 1:24, 19:20, 19:21 Kellie\_Humiston@ ord.uscourts.gov [1] - 1:25 KILMER [1] - 2:16 Kyle [8] - 2:21, 3:16, 4:9, 4:10, 5:22, 12:1, 13:11, 18:2

### L

lack [1] - 9:7 language [1] - 4:6 last [1] - 11:18 LAURICK [2] - 2:16,

3:18 Laurick [2] - 2:16, 3:18 law [4] - 5:25, 14:5, 14:14, 14:21 least [2] - 13:15, 15:1 Leigh [4] - 2:4, 3:9, 5:14, 10:20 lengthy [3] - 9:13, 9:14, 10:3 lies [2] - 10:13, 10:22 limited [4] - 6:13, 10:17, 11:3, 19:12 line [10] - 3:18, 5:25, 6:3, 6:15, 6:17, 7:5, 8:14, 12:12, 13:20, 13:23 listed [1] - 10:8 LOANS [1] - 1:9 loans [1] - 3:5 Loans [14] - 3:14, 3:17, 3:19, 4:10, 4:11, 5:22, 6:21, 12:1, 13:12, 16:19, 16:24, 17:20, 17:21, 18:2 Loans' [1] - 11:20

### M

local [2] - 3:19, 3:21

MAGISTRATE[1] -1:17 March [2] - 7:14, 7:17 Marshall [2] - 3:11, 3:22 MARSHALL[2] - 2:8, 3:11 matter [3] - 5:4, 6:23, 14:14 Mattson [15] - 3:5, 3:10, 3:12, 5:3, 6:12, 7:1, 7:3, 7:8, 7:13, 12:11, 13:4, 14:10, 14:15, 15:11, 17:17 **MATTSON**[1] - 1:5 Mattson's [6] - 4:18, 5:6, 6:5, 6:18, 7:21, mean [1] - 15:11 means [1] - 19:5 mentioned [2] - 17:24, 19:12 merits [4] - 7:15, 7:18, 10:3, 11:19 met [1] - 7:17 micromanage [1] -11:2 Milford [1] - 2:5

mind [2] - 8:25, 11:5

mindful [1] - 16:9 minimum [1] - 15:1 Miss [3] - 3:22, 12:2, 17:12 moment [1] - 5:9 Montgomery [7] - 2:4, 3:10, 5:14, 10:20, 10:24, 12:2, 17:12 MONTGOMERY [10] -3:9, 5:13, 9:2, 10:19, 11:9, 17:2, 17:5, 17:14, 18:1, 18:9 months [1] - 7:24 morning [2] - 3:4, 3:16 motion [17] - 4:20, 4:22, 5:7, 6:1, 6:4, 8:5, 10:15, 13:14, 14:2, 14:8, 14:20, 14:24, 15:3, 15:13, 15:19, 16:6, 16:14 motions [2] - 15:20, 16:11 move [2] - 3:14, 5:17 moving [1] - 10:7 MR [12] - 3:16, 3:18, 3:20, 4:9, 5:22, 12:1, 13:11, 17:6, 17:11, 17:21, 18:2, 18:5 MS [11] - 3:9, 3:11, 5:13, 9:2, 10:19, 11:9, 17:2, 17:5, 17:14, 18:1, 18:9

#### Ν

need [7] - 6:10, 7:12, 10:24, 11:7, 12:10, 13:8, 17:10 needed [1] - 11:1 needing [1] - 12:5 needs [1] - 15:18 never [2] - 14:13, 14:18 New [5] - 4:4, 4:16, 5:5, 6:3, 13:17 new [3] - 15:10, 15:16, 16.7 nine [2] - 6:14, 6:16 Ninth [5] - 4:5, 5:12, 5:15, 14:22, 14:23 normal [1] - 12:23 Northup [1] - 2:8 notes [1] - 10:10 nothing [2] - 18:1, 18:2 number [4] - 8:10, 8:11, 8:24, 10:9 Number [1] - 3:5 NW [4] - 2:8, 2:13,

2:17, 2:22

#### 0

objection [4] - 7:15, 12:10, 17:20, 17:21 objections [3] - 7:14, 7:20, 12:6 obviously [1] - 5:14 occur [1] - 19:11 occurring [1] - 19:13 **OF** [2] - 1:2, 1:13 Official [1] - 19:21 once [1] - 13:7 one [9] - 4:22, 9:23, 10:2, 12:5, 12:13, 15:15, 17:6, 19:9 one-third [1] - 12:13 ones [1] - 11:14 open [3] - 7:19, 9:8, 9:12 **opportunity** [1] - 14:3 option [1] - 16:23 OR [2] - 2:9, 2:18 order [18] - 4:2, 4:3, 4:12, 4:18, 4:21, 8:16, 9:4, 9:10, 9:11, 9:16, 10:25, 11:1, 11:13, 11:18, 16:3, 16:10, 16:13, 17:15 OREGON [1] - 1:2 Oregon [1] - 1:8 original [1] - 19:15 outcome [1] - 5:4 outlined [1] - 11:21 outstanding [1] - 17:8 own [1] - 7:4

## P

owner [1] - 12:13

owners [1] - 7:1

page [1] - 5:10 paid [1] - 12:12 parameters [1] - 11:6 particular [2] - 9:23, 15:21 particularly [2] -12:22, 14:24 parties [6] - 7:11, 10:13, 15:11, 16:8, 17:3, 19:7 party [2] - 13:2, 16:11 past [1] - 7:24 pay [1] - 12:14 payment [1] - 6:16 pending [2] - 4:16, 5:15 Penn [4] - 4:4, 4:16, 5:5, 13:17 Penn's [1] - 6:3 people [2] - 15:16,

16:5 permission [1] - 15:4 permitted [1] - 16:20 petition [1] - 4:6 phone [10] - 3:8, 6:15, 6:17, 7:6, 8:8, 12:12, 13:20, 15:9, 19:8 **Plaintiff** [3] - 1:7, 3:10, 3:12 **plaintiff** [6] - 5:13, 8:3, 8:19, 12:6, 15:22, 18:1

**PLAINTIFF** [2] - 2:3, 2:7 plaintiff's [6] - 3:7, 4:5, 9:1, 9:6, 10:5, 10:19 plaintiffs [1] - 17:7 pleading [1] - 4:24 point [2] - 16:25,

17:22 pointed [1] - 17:15 policies [2] - 6:21, 14:17

PORTLAND[1] - 1:3 Portland [3] - 1:8, 2:9, 2:18 position [4] - 10:5,

11:19, 12:17, 13:13

positions [1] - 12:20 possession [4] - 7:21, 12:7, 12:15, 12:21 potentially [1] - 13:6 practice [1] - 10:16 predicate [1] - 4:23 prejudice [1] - 14:3

8:13 presented [1] - 8:5 previous [1] - 9:3 primary [1] - 7:15 procedures [2] - 6:22, 14:17

present [2] - 7:23,

proceed [1] - 4:17 Proceedings [1] -18:10

PROCEEDINGS [1] proceedings [5] - 4:4,

4:15, 19:5, 19:11, 19:13

PROCTER [2] - 2:12, 2:21

produced [1] - 12:22 productive [1] - 18:6 promote [1] - 14:23 proper [1] - 14:16 proposing [1] - 5:21

purpose [1] - 16:10 purposes [5] - 5:24,

7:6, 11:5, 12:9, 13:20 pursuant [1] - 4:12 pursue [7] - 4:20, 5:4, 5:7, 11:12, 11:14, 12:18, 15:2 putting [1] - 13:24

#### Q

questions [1] - 9:21 **QUICKEN** [1] - 1:9 Quicken [18] - 3:5, 3:14, 3:15, 3:17, 3:19, 4:10, 5:22, 6:21, 11:20, 12:1, 13:12, 16:19, 16:22, 16:24, 17:20, 17:21, 18:2 quickly [1] - 10:10

### R

raised [1] - 12:6

reach [3] - 4:24,

13:24, 17:16

reached [2] - 14:13, 14:19 really [4] - 3:25, 9:6, 10:12, 14:5 reason [1] - 14:19 reasonable [3] - 8:9, 8:22, 17:19 reasons [1] - 19:12 receive [1] - 15:25 recommendations [1] - 8:6 recommended [1] -14:10 record [4] - 3:5, 8:9, 14:25, 19:4 redundant [1] - 8:18 refused [1] - 7:19 regarding [1] - 11:6 regulations [1] - 14:17 relevancy[1] - 7:20 relied [2] - 6:4, 7:8 relitigate [1] - 8:19 remainder [1] - 18:6 remaining [2] - 4:18, 10:3 remind [1] - 13:17 renew [3] - 14:3, 14:20, 16:14 renewed [4] - 4:20, 5:7, 14:8, 15:3 renewing [1] - 13:14 reopen [1] - 15:19 repeated [1] - 16:11 reply [1] - 14:2

report [2] - 4:13, 9:21 Reporter [1] - 19:21 **REPORTER** [1] - 1:23 reporting [1] - 7:2 representing[1] -3:15 request [5] - 9:11, 9:24, 11:10, 11:11, 16:14 requested [1] - 9:6 requesting [1] - 10:11 requests [6] - 6:14, 6:16, 6:21, 7:7, 7:8, 10:9 reserve [2] - 13:15,

15:2 residential [3] - 8:10, 8:24, 13:23 resolution [3] - 4:16, 5:15, 6:7 resolve [9] - 4:18, 4:22, 6:10, 10:16, 10:23, 12:24, 13:7, 13:21, 14:5

resolved [4] - 5:1, 5:11, 15:3 resolving [1] - 6:8 respect [6] - 5:19,

10:9, 12:5, 14:8, 15:12. 16:18 respectfully [1] -

13:14

respond [3] - 4:10, 17:7, 17:13 responded [1] - 7:14 responses [3] - 16:25,

17:15, 17:18 responsible [1] - 7:2 result [1] - 14:1 reversed [1] - 14:12 revoked [1] - 14:11 ripe [1] - 4:25

RMR [2] - 1:24, 19:21 Rule [2] - 4:5, 12:18 ruling [1] - 13:17

# S

saw [2] - 4:2, 4:3 schedule [1] - 9:19 scheduling [5] - 3:24, 4:14, 9:7, 16:3, 16:10 scope [1] - 10:6 see [6] - 4:12, 9:1, 15:5, 15:9, 16:12, 16:16 seeing [2] - 8:15, 13:3 seeking [4] - 5:18, 5:20, 5:23, 9:22

separately [1] - 6:16 September [1] - 4:14 serve [2] - 9:9, 10:1 served [12] - 6:12, 6:14, 6:16, 6:20, 6:25, 7:7, 7:11, 7:13, 7:25, 11:15, 11:21, 12.4 service [1] - 8:8 serving [1] - 13:1 set [1] - 9:21 signature [3] - 19:15, 19:16 signed [1] - 19:16 signing [1] - 19:3 similar [1] - 6:3 similarly [1] - 1:6 simplify [1] - 6:19 situated [1] - 1:6 six [1] - 7:24 Skye [1] - 2:4 somewhat [1] - 8:21 sort [1] - 11:13 sounds [1] - 17:19 speakerphone[1] -19:8 speakers [3] - 19:8, 19:9 specifically [5] - 5:20, 6:4, 6:13, 8:7, 8:17 spite [1] - 8:8 stand [1] - 12:10 state [4] - 5:25, 7:2, 8:20, 12:9 statement [1] - 4:13 statements [1] - 3:25 **STATES**[2] - 1:1, 1:17 status [2] - 4:13, 9:21 stay [1] - 5:14 stayed [1] - 4:15 staying [2] - 4:4, 5:10 stenographic [1] -19:4 still [2] - 4:25, 6:9 story [1] - 16:9 Street [5] - 2:5, 2:8, 2:13, 2:17, 2:22 subject [3] - 8:10, 13:3, 15:12 submission [2] - 4:14, 14:21 subpoena [1] - 12:19 subsequently [1] -13:21 successive [1] - 14:23 sufficiency [1] - 5:2 sufficient [1] - 14:18 Suite [1] - 2:17 sum [1] - 16:4

**summary**[17] - 4:19, 4:20, 5:1, 5:7, 6:1, 6:4, 6:8, 8:6, 8:13, 9:3, 9:4, 13:14, 14:9, 14:20, 14:24, 15:13, 15:20

#### Т

targeted [3] - 6:13,

10:18, 11:4 tax [9] - 5:19, 5:25, 6:15, 7:2, 7:6, 11:5, 12:9, 13:20, 16:19 Tayman [12] - 2:21, 3:17, 4:9, 4:10, 5:18, 5:22, 10:8, 10:17, 11:25, 12:1, 13:11, 18:2 **TAYMAN**[8] - 3:16, 4:9, 5:22, 12:1, 13:11, 17:21, 18:2, 18:5 technical [1] - 19:11 technological [1] -19:13 teleconference [1] -1:14 telephone [2] - 6:17, 19:14 telephonic [3] - 19:5, 19:7, 19:11 terms [3] - 5:20, 8:13, 10:13 testimony [3] - 6:5, 6:11, 6:18 **THE** [26] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:16, 2:3, 2:7, 2:11, 2:15, 2:20, 3:4, 3:13, 3:21, 5:8, 5:16, 8:1, 10:8, 10:21, 11:24, 13:9, 15:8, 17:3, 17:9, 17:12, 17:19, 17:22, 18:4, 18:6 themselves [1] - 19:10 they've [1] - 11:14 third [2] - 12:13, 13:2 third-party [1] - 13:2 thoroughly [1] - 3:24 thoughts [2] - 8:25, 9:1 timing [1] - 17:7 today [5] - 3:15, 11:13, 17:4, 17:9, 17:25 Tonnemacher [1] -14:22 transcript [2] - 19:4, 19:15 TRANSCRIPT[1] -1:13

trial [8] - 5:2, 6:10, 6:11, 6:19, 6:24, 8:5, 14:6 trier [1] - 8:23 true [1] - 19:3 try [1] - 17:16 trying [3] - 9:19, 11:3, 13:21 turn [1] - 9:1 turning [2] - 10:8, 11:25 two [5] - 4:23, 6:20, 7:7, 13:18, 17:19 TX [1] - 2:5

### U

ultimate [1] - 5:11 ultimately [2] - 8:23, 15:18 unable [1] - 10:16 unaware [3] - 15:11, 15:17, 16:8 under [3] - 14:17, 14:22, 16:12 undisputed [4] - 6:18, 6:23, 14:15, 14:16 unfair [1] - 15:22 UNITED [2] - 1:1, 1:17 up [3] - 9:18, 10:2, 15:15

### V

Vaughn [1] - 2:17 via [1] - 19:8 videoconference [1] -19:8 VOORHEES [1] - 2:16

### W

Washington [2] - 2:13, 2:22
weeks [3] - 17:14, 17:18, 17:19
Westland [8] - 5:24, 6:14, 7:1, 8:7, 8:14, 12:19, 12:22, 13:19
Westland's [2] - 7:3, 7:22
witnesses [1] - 6:11
written [9] - 9:10, 9:12, 9:20, 11:10, 11:13, 12:4, 12:24, 13:2, 16:19

### Υ

YIM [1] - 1:16

YOU [1] - 1:16 YOULEE [1] - 1:16